









## particle physics

## "big answerable questions" and how we go about answering them (nature of Higgs interactions, validity of SM up to high scales, lepton flavour universality, pattern of neutrino mixing, ...)

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

"big unanswered questions" about fundamental particles & their interactions (dark matter, matter-antimatter asymmetry, nature of dark energy, hierarchy of scales...)

V.





# I personally expect supersymmetry to be discovered at the LHC



https://cerncourier.com/a/nobel-expectationsfor-new-physics-at-the-lhc/

## -a Nobel prize-winning theorist [2008]

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09





# experiments in the 2020s





## High-luminosity LHC





**Rebuilding ~1.2km of LHC** (the most complicated bit!)

But also touches very many other systems around the machine

- New IR-quads Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn (inner triplets)
- New 11 T Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn (short) dipoles
- **Other NbTi magnets in** the IR
- **Collimation upgrade**
- **Cryogenics upgrade**
- **Crab Cavities**
- **Cold powering**
- Machine protection

...





# HL-LHC lumi: 5-7x today's int.lumi by 2030, 20-30x by 2036



Year

-uminosity [cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>]

ATLAS and CMS				
Run 3	Run4	HL-LHC		
<b>300</b> fb <sup>-1</sup>	1 ab-1	3 – 4 a		

	b	
Run 3	Run4	HL-LHC
23 fb <sup>-1</sup>	50 fb <sup>-1</sup>	300 fł

M. Lamont, O. Bruning, L. Rossi

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09







## huge experimental advances









## **Belle II: 40–50x increase relative to Belle**



*Zupanc* (2017)

G.P. Salam





LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09



# Nova + T2K running; DUNE & Hyper-K starting ~2027

#### DUNE

July 2017

Groundbreaking for LBNF/DUNE

#### Autumn 2018

ProtoDUNE detectors online at CERN

#### 2019

Begin main cavern excavation in South Dakota

#### 2022

Begin installing the first DUNE detector

#### 2026

Fermilab's high-energy neutrino beam to South Dakota operational with two DUNE detectors online Spring 2020FinalAutumn 2020StarAutumn 2021StarAutumn 2022StarAutumn 2023StarAutumn 2024ConAutumn 2025ConTABLE XXII. Tin

#### **HYPER-K**

- Spring 2020 Final design review of the system
- Autumn 2020 Start the design of the system based on the design review
- Autumn 2021 Start bidding procedure
- Autumn 2022 Start mass production
- Autumn 2023 Start final system test
- Autumn 2024 Complete mass production
- Autumn 2025 Complete system test and get ready for install
- TABLE XXII. Timeline to complete the production for the installation.

• • • •

## muon g-2: Fermilab running for the next few years; also J-PARC

# $a_{\mu}(SM) = (11659182.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-10}$ ,



Fermilab: has already surpassed **BNL data (1st results to come** soon?)

G.P. Salam





LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

## direct detection dark matter experiments

#### Global Argon Dark Matter Collaboration



XENON10	XENON100	XENON1T	XENONnT	DAR
		<image/>		
2005 – 2007	2008 – 2016	2012 – 2018	2019 – 2023	202

~15 kg	~62 kg	~2 t	~5.9 t	4
15 cm	30 cm	1 m	1.5 m	2.6
~10 <sup>-43</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>	~10 <sup>-45</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>	~10 <sup>-47</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>	~10 <sup>-48</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>	~10-4

#### RWIN





# many ongoing & medium and small experiments

► NA61

. . . .

- ► NA62
- ► NA64
- ► Compass
- ► HPS

• • •

- ► SeaQuest
- ► KATRIN

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

direct new-particle searches



## **Rules of thumb for direct searches**



G.P. Salam

- > x10 increase in luminosity  $\rightarrow$ increase in direct (total) mass reach of  $\delta M = (0.06 - 0.09)\sqrt{s}$
- ► Valid in range  $0.15 \leq M/\sqrt{s} \leq 0.6$
- Roughly 1 TeV increase in mass reach at LHC for each  $\times 10$  in luminosity
- Proportionally more significant for searches at lower end of mass scale



# $Z'(or A') \rightarrow leptons$

one of the simplest searches, reaching some of the highest scales probed at LHC



Search for particles decaying to two muon

	Lower limits on $m_{Z'}$ [TeV]						
Model	$\epsilon$	ee	$\mid \mu$	$\mu$	$\ell$	$\ell\ell$	
	obs	$\exp$	obs	$\exp$	obs	$\exp$	
$Z'_{\psi}$	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	
$Z'_{n}$	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.8	
$Z'_{\rm SSM}$	4.9	4.9	4.5	4.5	5.1	5.1	

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-67

Phys. Lett. B 796 (2019) 68



## Z' past & future @ LHC



Past decade saw exceptionally fast direct exploration at high end of energy frontier.

#### × 5 in reach in 2010 – now

Next 10 (15) years will see much slower progress, ~ 20% (30%) increase in reach

End of energy frontier exploration?



year in which data recorded

Sequential SM Z' exclusion reach



Sequential SM Z' exclusion reach

year in which data recorded

# are LHC searches over? NO!

exciting action may be happening at lower end of LHC reach



## e.g. stop searches



section 10<sup>-3</sup> <sup>\_5</sup> බ් 10<sup>-5</sup>

stops play big role in fine tuning (accessible masses lower than for Z')

### fractional gain in $m_{\tilde{t}}$ is 50% (relative to 36 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

NB: this is for  $\times 80$  in luminosity, so would expect ~+1 TeV increase in  $m_{\tilde{t}}$ reach (+2 TeV for system mass of  $2m_{\tilde{t}}$ )

are projections too conservative or are there serious show-stoppers?















# extreme lower end: A' searches at LHCb







## **General searches** (including an example with 704 event classes)



G.P. Salam



ATLAS, arXiv:1807.07447 13 TeV, 3.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> General search

As we move into regime where mass reach evolves more slowly, what's the best strategy?

### Can/should searches be automated?

Can they be incorporated into generic searches, freeing up time/ thought for novel searches?







# direct (mostly) non-LHC searches

mainly based on material from Physics Beyond Colliders report, arXiv:1902.00260



## hidden sectors: a few simplified models, a plethora of experiments



G.P. Salam

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

### past years have seen resurgence of excitement about light(ish) dark sectors

# Analysed in terms of a range of minimal models

. . . .



G.



#### all-time favourite #1

many new experiments proposed to study it

& suggestions to use approved experiments to probe similar regions

ek, Oxford, 2019-09

. . . .





#### dark photon $\rightarrow$ dark "matter"

search for it either my looking for missing energy, or through decays of X (model dep.)



heavy-neutral leptons coupling to τ'S









## Axions







**axion:** all-time favourite #2 could be a dark-matter candidate

diversity of experiments probing it is even greater than for other channels





# dark matter



# Finding dark matter and studying it will be the biggest challenge for the Large Hadron Collider's second run

https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/largehadron-collider-gears-find-dark-matter-newparticles-second-run

## -a large LHC experiment's spokesperson [2015]

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09







# cark mater

### Velocity (km s<sup>-1</sup>)

50

100

#### **Observations from starlight**

# **Rotation curve of spiral galaxy Messier 33**

10,000

Mario De Leo 💿 CC BY-SA 4.0

#### Observations from 21 cm hydrogen

Expected from the visible disk

## 20,000 30,000 40,000

Distance (light years)



# Looking beyond the SM: searches for dark matter at LHC & elsewhere

Classic dark-matter candidate: a weaklyinteracting massive particle (WIMP, e.g. from supersymmetry).

Masses ~ GeV upwards

(search interpretations strongly model dependent)







## musn't be (too) disappointed at lack of dark matter signal at LHC (& elsewhere)

Evidence for dark matter exists since the 1930s.

Today we know that

- there are many possible models
- > the range of parameters they span i

We must deploy full ingenuity in searching for dar

But must also recognise that it has remained  $elusi_{\mathbb{S}}^{\mathbb{S}}$ finding it in any given year are small!

#### **Snowmass non-WIMP dark matter** report, 1310.8642



Figure 1. Graphical representation of the (incomplete) landscape of candidates. Above, the landscape of dark matter candidates due to T. Tait. Below, the range of dark matter candidates' masses and interaction cross sections with a nucleus of Xe (for illustrative purposes) compiled by L. Pearce. Dark matter candidates have an enormous range of masses and interaction cross sections.

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09











G.P. Salam

e.g. expected reach of Darwin, due to start ~ 2026







## Axions







**axion:** all-time favourite #2 could be a dark-matter candidate

diversity of experiments probing it is even greater than for other channels




# indirect searches



## null results so far: proton decay



DUNE and hyper-K due to come online ~ 2025

Not just neutrino physics, but also searches









**EDM** that would be induced if QCD  $\theta$  param. is at today's limit

SM (CKM) contribution

arXiv:1902.00260 (PBC)









# Almost any measurement $\equiv$ indirect search

- whether flavour, electroweak / Higgs, high-p<sub>T</sub> jets, etc.
- there's a chance your measurement is sensitive to physics at some scale  $\Lambda \gg M_{observed}$ , where new new physics appears as small higher-dimension effective operator

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_{k} \mathscr{O}_k + \cdots$$

- > you may think it's the measurement that matters: e.g. establishing a fundamental parameter of the SM to higher precision (that's part of a physicist's legacy)
- $\blacktriangleright$  or you may think of increased precision as an indirect route to higher  $\Lambda$



# flavour physics



# **B** anomalies: concentrate on $R_K$ (lepton flavour violation)

# Updated R<sub>K</sub> from LHCb



 $R_K = 0.846^{+0.060+0.016}_{-0.054-0.014}$ 

Carla Marin @ LP2019

#### PRL 122 (2019) 191801

Still x2 B decays recorded by LHCb to be analysed!

16

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

## **Projections at LHCb and Belle II**



If current central value persists, by 2025 LHCb will have 6σ and Belle II will have  $4\sigma$ 

Belle II proj: from slides by Zupanc (2017) *LHCb proj: HL-LHC YR arXiv:1812.07638* 

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

## **CKM fits (today)**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Summer 2018** 

# $\delta \bar{\rho} = 0.085$ $\delta \bar{\eta} = 0.083$

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

### CKM fits (late 2020's)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

G.P. Salam

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

#### **Phase I**

LHCb at 23 fb<sup>-1</sup> CMS/ATLAS at 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> Belle II at 50 ab-1.

 $\delta \bar{\rho} = 0.027$  $\delta \bar{\eta} = 0.024$ 

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **CKM fits (~2035)**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Phase II**

LHCb at 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>, CMS/ATLAS at 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> Belle II at 50 ab-1.

> $\delta \bar{\rho} = 0.018$  $\delta \bar{\eta} = 0.015$

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

1	Introduction	(	6	Hadron spectroscopy and QCD exotica
1.1	Theoretical considerations	(	6.1	Open questions in spectroscopy
1.2	Experimental considerations and the breadth of flavour physics	(	6.2	Hadrons with a single heavy quark
2	Testing the CKM unitarity and related observables	(	6.3	Hadrons containing $\bar{c}c$ , $\bar{b}b$ or $\bar{c}b$
2.1	Structure of the CKM matrix	(	6.4	Doubly-heavy hadrons
2.2	Current status of the constraints	(	6.5	All-heavy states
2.3	Combined constraints on the CKM parameters 25	(	6.6	Probes from prompt production in $pp$
2.5	Theoretical prospects	(	6.7	Summary of interesting processes and states
2. <del>4</del> 2.5	$\frac{27}{28}$	(	6.8	Experimental prospects
2.5	Experimental prospects		7	Bottom-quark probes of new physics and prospects for <i>B</i> -anomalies
2.0	Future of global CKM fits $\dots$	7	7.1	Phenomenology of $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ decays
2.7	Future extrapolation of constraints on NP in $\Delta F = 2$ amplitudes	-	7 7	Phenomenology of $b \to c \ell \nu$ decays
3	Charm-quark probes of new physics			Experimental perspectives
3.1	Charm mixing	<b>'e</b>		The top quark and flavour physics
3.2	CP violation in $D^0 - D^0$ mixing $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$			Global effective-field-theory interpretation of top-quark FCNCs
3.3	Direct CP violating probes	CS		Anomalous $Wtb$ vertices and $CP$ -violation effects from $T$ -odd kinematic distribution
3.4	Null tests from isospin sum rules	dc		Determinations of $V_{tx}$
3.5	Radiative and leptonic charm decays			The Higgs boson and flavour physics
3.6	Inputs for B physics	Cf		New Physics benchmarks for modified Higgs couplings
3.7	Experimental prospects	20	0	Probing charm and light quark Yukawa couplings
4	Strange-quark probes of new physics	03	Ο	LFV decays of the Higgs
4.1	The (HL) LHC as a strangeness factory	our		CP violating Yukawa couplings
4.2	$K_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K_I^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays			The high $p_{\rm T}$ flavour physics programme $\dots \dots \dots$
4.3	$K_{\rm S} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma, \ K_{\rm S} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- e^+ e^- \text{ and } K_{\rm S} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$			Models of flavour and TeV Physics
44	$K_C \to \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^- \text{ and } K^\pm \to \pi^\pm \ell^+ \ell^- $ 80	-	10.2	Flavour implications for high $p_{\rm T}$ new physics searches $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$
45	$K_{\alpha} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$ 83	1	10.5	Denominations of fight measure the context of flowour enemalies
1.5	I FV modes 83	1	10.4	I attice OCD in the HI /HE I HC ero
4.0	$U_{v} = 0$	1	17	Conclusions
4./		1	12	Acknowledgements
J 5 1	$au \text{ leptons} \dots \dots$	ر 4	A	Details on experimental extrapolations
J.I	Lepton-navour-conserving processes	1	A.1	Analysis methods and objects definitions
5.2	Lepton Flavour Violation $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	ļ	A.2	Treatment of systematic uncertainties
Salar	n LHCb week. Oxfor	<sup>•</sup> d. 2	2019-	-09

			0.4
•	•	•	94
•	•	•	94
•	•	•	97
•	•	•	100
•	•	•	105
•	•	•	106
•	•	•	106
•	•	•	107
•	•	•	107
•	•	•	115
			115
•	•	•	173
•	•	•	123
•	•	•	129
•	•	•	151
•	•	•	151
15		•	160
•	•	•	163
•	٠	•	166
•	•	•	166
•	•	•	168
•	•	•	176
•	٠	•	176
•	•	•	179
•	•	•	179
•	•	•	183
•	•	•	190
•	•	•	192
•			207
•	·	•	213
•	•	•	213 214
•	•	•	21 <del>4</del> 016
•	•	•	210 216
•	•	•	210
•	•	•	217

neutrinos

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Questions in neutrino physics**

- Mass ordering
- Nature of v<sub>3</sub> - $\theta_{23}$  octant
- Is CP violated?
- Is there more • to this picture?

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

this & other v material from Mark Messier @ LP19

#### Quark mixing

![](_page_48_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### Neutrino mixing

![](_page_48_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

current status:

hints of CP violation

normal hierarchy preferred

CPV hints driven by T2K data When combined with other data,  $\delta_{CP}=180^{\circ}$  is allowed within  $2\sigma$ 

Significance of normal hierarchy increases to just over 3σ in combination 33

![](_page_49_Picture_8.jpeg)

**T2K** will run until 2028 with intensity up to 1.3 MW

prospects for mid/late 2020s

 $\sim 3\sigma/\text{expt for}$ hierarchy and **CP** violation

**NOvA** will run to 2025 with improvements to beam intensity up to 0.9 - 1 MW

Collaborations working toward a joint fit

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **T2K** will run until 2028 with intensity up to 1.3 MW

#### prospects for mid/late 2020s

**NOvA** will run to 2025 with improvements to beam intensity up to 0.9 - 1 MW

Collaborations working toward a joint fit

![](_page_51_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Hyper-Kamiokande Experiment

Upgrade beam to 1.3 MW 260 kt far detector Expected data taking from 2027 Exploring possibility of 2nd detector in Korea

 $>5\sigma$  resolution of mass hierarchy  $>5\sigma$  resolution of CP violation

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment**

![](_page_52_Figure_8.jpeg)

 $>5\sigma$  resolution of mass hierarchy  $>5\sigma$  resolution of CP violation

![](_page_52_Picture_13.jpeg)

# two dimensions to progress: (1) seeing new kinds of fundamental coupling (Yukawas, HHH) (2) precision

# Higgs physics

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

## Higgs sector

until 7 years ago none of these terms had ever been directly observed.

Discovery of Higgs was start of a new chaper in particle physics

Many interactions qualitatively new relative to the earlier successful probes on the SM (gauge)

and fundamental to nature of our universe

![](_page_54_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Why do Yukawa couplings matter? (1) Because, within SM conjecture, they're what give masses to all quarks

Up quarks (mass  $\sim 2.2$  MeV) are lighter than down quarks (mass  $\sim 4.7$  MeV)

proton **neutron** (up+down+down): 2.2 + **4.7** + 4.7 + ... = **939.6** MeV

> So protons are **lighter** than neutrons,  $\rightarrow$  protons are stable.

Which gives us the hydrogen atom, & chemistry and biology as we know it

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

(up+up+down): 2.2 + 2.2 + 4.7 + ... = 938.3 MeV

proton mass = 938.3 MeV

neutron mass = 939.6 MeVC

![](_page_55_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Yukawas

#### overall normalisation (related to Higgs width): needs an e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider

#### today: no evidence yet (1 in 4570 decays)

observable at the LHC within about 10 years.

![](_page_56_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_7.jpeg)

# by 2030: ~ 4 $\sigma$ evidence for 2nd generation Yukawa (H $\rightarrow$ µµ)

 $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ 

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\mu_{CMS}$ =1.0 ± 1.0(stat) ± 0.1(syst) (Runl+2016) Obs.(exp.): 0.9  $\sigma$  (1.0 $\sigma$ ) PhysRevLett.122.021801 • Expected sensitivity:  $1.5\sigma$ , observed  $0.8\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(obs) / \sigma(SM) = 0.5 \pm 0.7$ 

![](_page_57_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_10.jpeg)

 $V(\Phi) = m^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 ?$ luon Higgs field in space

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Higgs precision today — ATLAS & CMS at $\sim 8 - 10\%$ level (theory at 5%)

#### ATLAS-CONF-2019-029: *yy* channel

 $\sigma_{\rm fid} = 65.2 \pm 4.5 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 5.6 \,(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.3 \,(\text{theo.}) \,\text{fb},$ SM prediction of  $63.6 \pm 3.3$  fb

*CMS HIG-19-001-pas* (137*fb*<sup>-1</sup>): 4-*lepton channel*  $\mu_{\text{inclusive}} = 0.94^{+0.07}_{-0.07}(\text{stat.})^{+0.08}_{-0.07}(\text{syst.})$  $\sigma_{\rm fid} = 2.73^{+0.30}_{-0.29} = 2.73^{+0.23}_{-0.22} (\text{stat.})^{+0.24}_{-0.19} (\text{syst.})$ 

ATLAS 2019-025 (139fb<sup>-1</sup>): 4-lepton channel

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \text{[pb]} = 54.7 \pm 4.9 \pm 2.3 \text{ SM} = 55.7 \pm 2.8$  $\sigma_{sum}$  [fb] = 3.24 ±0.31 ±0.11 SM = 3.41 ±0.18

ATLAS 2019-032: *yy* and 4-lepton channel  $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \text{[pb]} = 55.4^{+4.3}_{-4.2} \text{ pb} (\pm 3.1(\text{stat.}))^{+3.0}_{-2.8}(\text{sys.}))$ 

fb 
$$\sigma_{\rm fid.}^{\rm SM} = 2.76 \pm 0.14~{\rm fb}$$

#### $SM = 55.6 \pm 2.5 \text{ pb}$

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

# HL-LHC official Higgs coupling projections (by 2036)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Right now, Higgs coupling precisions are in the 10% range.

#### We wouldn't consider electromagnetism established (textbook level) if we only knew it to 10%

HL-LHC can deliver 1-2% for a range of couplings

![](_page_60_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

G.P. Salan

\_ \_ \_ \_

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

# LHC precision physics

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Z production: playground for LHC precision

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### LHCb 13 TeV, 1607.06495

![](_page_63_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### ATLAS 8 TeV, 1512.02192 *m<sub>ℓℓ</sub>* [GeV]

66–116

![](_page_63_Picture_8.jpeg)

• • • •

# Luminosity: LHCb is in the lead (at least with 8 TeV data)

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

to what extent can (should) luminosity determination be transferred & combined between LHC experiments?

						_
ALICE	ATLAS	CMS		LHCb		
2010	2012	2012		2012		
7.0	8.0	8.0		8.0		
vdM	vdM	vdM	vdM	Combined	BGI	
3.5	1.2	2.3	1.47	1.12	1.43	
-	1.4	< 0.1		0.17		
1.5	0.6	1.0		0.22		
3.0	0.2	0.5		0.13		
1.5		0.5		-		
5.0	1.9	2.6	1.5	1.2	1.5	
						Ĩ

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_8.jpeg)

## progress in precision will require advances on a whole ecosystem of tools

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

G.P. Salam

lot by GP Salam

![](_page_65_Picture_7.jpeg)

### corresponding LHCb plot

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09

Plot by GP Salam b

![](_page_66_Picture_22.jpeg)

# likely progress in PDFs

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_5.jpeg)

up to  $\times 2$  reduction in uncertainty on partonic luminosities (e.g. # of quark-antiquark collisions) relative to today's PDFs

![](_page_67_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_10.jpeg)

WH diff., Ferrera, Grazzini, Tramontano **NNLO calculations** W/Z total, H total, Harlander, Kilgore  $\gamma$ , Catani et al. H total, Anastasiou, Melnikov Hj (partial), Boughezal et al. H total, Ravindran, Smith, van Neerven ttbar total, Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov WH total, Brein, Djouadi, Harlander Z-γ, Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Torre jj (partial), Currie, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Glover, Pires H diff., Anastasiou, Melnikov, Petriello ZZ, Cascioli it et al. H diff., Anastasiou, Melnikov, Petriello ZH diff., Ferrera, Grazzini, Tramontano W diff., Melnikov, Petriello WW, Gehrmann et al. W/Z diff., Melnikov, Petriello ttbar diff., Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov Z-γ, W-γ, Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev H diff., Catani, Grazzini Hj, Boughezal et al. /W/Z diff / Catani et al 0 Wj, Boughezal, Focke, Liu, Petriello Hj, Boughezal et al. VBF diff., Cacciari et al. Zi, Gehrmann-De Ridder et al. O QQ & & Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev Caola, Melnikov, Schulze E C Zi, Boughezal et al. T HERE WH diff., ZH diff., Campbell, Ellis, Williams  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ , Campbell, Ellis, Li, Williams WZ, Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Wiesemann p<sub>t7</sub>, Gehrmann-De Ridder et al. WW, Grazzini et al. MCFM at NNLO, Boughezal et al. single top, Berger, Gao, C.-Yuan, Zhu HH, de Florian et al. p<sub>tH</sub>, Chen et al. p<sub>tZ</sub>, Gehrmann-De Ridder et al. ij, Currie, Glover, Pires γX, Campbell, Ellis, Williams yj, Campbell, Ellis, Williams VH, H->bb, Ferrera, Somogyi, Tramontano single top, Berger, Gao, Zhu HHZ, Li, Li, Wang 2012 2014 2020 2004 2006 2008 2010 2016 2018 DIS jj, Žlebčík et al. VH, H->bb, Caola, Luisoni, Melnikov, Roentsch p<sub>tW</sub>, Gehrmann-De Ridder et al. WBF diff., Cruz-Martinez, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss Wj, Zj, Gehrmann-De Ridder et al ttbar total, Catani et al. γj, Chen et al. H->bbj, Mondini, Williams ttbar diff., Catani et al.

#### 2002

QCD theory precision is crucial to our ability to interpret increasingly precise experimental results

VBF total. Bolzoni. Maltoni. Moch. Zaro

![](_page_68_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_19.jpeg)

# The dawn of N3LO

![](_page_69_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Looking beyond the 2020s

the decisions of the next years may well set the course for our field for the next few decades

![](_page_70_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)
#### Future High Energy Circular e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>Collider using Energy-Recovery Linacs Vladimir N Litvinenko<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Roser<sup>2</sup> and Maria Chamizo Llatas<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA <sup>2</sup> Collider-Accelerator Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA <sup>2</sup> Nuclear and Particle Physics Directorate, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

In this paper we present alternative approach for Future Circular electron-positron Collider. Current 100 km circumference design with the top CM energy of 365 GeV (182.5 GeV beam energy) is based on two storage rings to circulate colliding beams [1-2]. One of the ring-ring design shortcomings is enormous power consumption needed to compensate for 100 MW of the beam energy losses for synchrotron radiation. We propose to use energy recovery linac located in the same tunnel to mitigate this drawback. We show in this paper that our approach would allow a significant – up to an order of magnitude – reduction of the beam energy losses while maintaining high luminosity in this collider at high energies. Furthermore, our approach would allow to extend CM energy to 500 GeV (or above), which is sufficient for double-Higgs production.

#### how do we balance the need to settle long-term plans with the potential for new ideas to change the landscape of options?

G.P. Salam



# Conclusions



# I personally expect supersymmetry to be discovered at the LHC



http://cerncourier.com/cws/article/cern/35456

#### -a Nobel prize-winning theorist [2008]

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09





## What can we hope to know by the end of 2020's

- ► fate of anomalies: flavour & muon g-2
- > neutrinos: much more complete picture including mass hierarchy
- ► Higgs

  - $\rightarrow$  H  $\rightarrow$  µµ @ 4 $\sigma$
  - ► high-p<sub>T</sub> Higgs
- innovative small experiments, e.g. for axion searches
- heavy-ion collisions) & the transition of LHC to a precision machine

 $\blacktriangleright$  below 5% for inclusive Higgs  $\rightarrow$  we'll see how we're doing with systematics...

➤ direct new-physics reach → increased especially for more weakly coupled states

Continued "encroachment" of LHC experiments on each other's territory (including)

> We will have a picture of the future landscape of HEP (we must settle our differences...)

LHCb week, Oxford, 2019-09





### Scope for surprises?

- $\blacktriangleright$  The LHC experiments probably cover O(1000) different channels (cf. the 704 of the general search) with  $O(30 \times)$  more data
- Probably O(100) additional channels / regions covered by other experiments

We're giving ourselves a good chance of gaining direct clues about the big "unanswered" questions.

But whether or not we discover "new physics", we are making advances. Knowledge of the SM's range of validity & confidence in our understanding of its fundamental interactions is a legacy in its own right.

77